



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/631,989

07/31/2003

Bjorn Markus Jakobsson

EMC-06-463

2203

80167 7590 06/27/2008

Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP
90 Forest Avenue
Locust Valley, NY 11560

EXAMINER

TESLOVICH, TAMARA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2137

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/27/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/631,989	Applicant(s) JAKOBSSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Tamara Teslovich	Art Unit 2137	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Applicant's Remarks and Amendments filed March 3, 2008.

Claims 1-30 are pending and herein considered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-30 under "Cameron Ginter" have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of United States Patent Application Publication No. 2007/0226807 A1 to Ginter et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

**Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by
United States Patent Application Publication No. 2007/0226807 A1 to Ginter et al.**

As per **claim 1**, Ginter teaches a method for partitioning of cryptographic functionality so as to permit delegation of at least one of a plurality of distinct portions of the cryptographic functionality from a delegating device to at least one recipient device, the cryptographic functionality being characterized as a graph comprising a plurality of nodes, the method comprising the steps of (pars 73-74):

associating a given set of the nodes with a corresponding one of the plurality of distinct portions of the cryptographic functionality (pars 74, 92); and

transmitting from the delegating device to the recipient device information representative of one or more of the nodes (pars 110, 112),

the recipient device being configured based on the transmitted information for authorized execution of a corresponding one of the plurality of distinct portions of the cryptographic functionality (pars 74, 1114, 2187).

As per **claim 2**, Ginter teaches wherein at least one of the nodes of the graph corresponds to a seed the possession of which permits execution of a corresponding one of the distinct portions of the cryptographic functionality (pars 610, 1452, 1519, 1521).

As per **claim 3**, Ginter teaches wherein the transmitting step further comprises transmitting from the delegating device to the recipient device information representative of at least two of the nodes (pars 74, 92, 1548, 2099, 2240).

As per **claim 4**, Ginter teaches wherein the transmitting step further comprises transmitting from the delegating device to the recipient device information representative of at least one parent node of the graph (pars 2142, 2257, 2258, 2263).

As per **claim 5**, Ginter teaches wherein the transmitting step further comprises transmitting from the delegating device to the recipient device information representative of at least one child node of a parent node of the graph (pars 2142, 2257, 2258, 2263).

As per **claim 6**, Ginter teaches wherein the graph comprises at least first and second root nodes (pars 2142, 2257, 2258, 2263).

As per **claim 7**, Ginter teaches wherein the graph comprises a tree having at least first and second subtrees associated with respective first and second ones of the plurality of distinct portions of the cryptographic functionality (pars 590, 1548, 2099, 2240).

As per **claim 8**, Ginter teaches wherein the graph comprises a chain (pars 59, 83, 107, 137, 148, 181, 189).

As per **claim 9**, Ginter teaches wherein the graph comprises L levels of nodes, an Lth one of the levels comprising a parent node $v_{\text{sub.L},1}$, and a first one of these

Art Unit: 2137

levels comprising a set of seeds $v_{sub.1,1}$, $v_{sub.1,2}$, . . . $v_{sub.1,n}$, where n is the total number of seeds, each of the seeds being derivable from the parent node (pars 610, 1452, 1519, 1521).

As per **claim 10**, Ginter teaches wherein an i th node of a k th one of the levels is computed as $f_{sub.k}(i, v_{sub.k+1})$, where $f_{sub.k}$ is a one-way function (pars 610, 1452, 1519, 1521).

As per **claim 11**, Ginter teaches wherein the nodes of one or more of the levels are arranged in the form of tuples of designated numbers of nodes (pars 610, 1452, 1519, 1521).

As per **claim 12**, Ginter teaches wherein the i th node of a j th tuple of the k th level is computed as $f_{sub.k}(j, i, v_{sub.k+1,j})$ (pars 610, 1452, 1519, 1521).

As per **claim 13**, Ginter teaches wherein the cryptographic functionality comprises a cryptographic functionality provided by a hardware-based authentication token (pars 74, 1114, 2187).

As per **claim 14**, Ginter teaches wherein the cryptographic functionality comprises an ability to verify at least one of an authentication code and a distress code generated by a hardware-based authentication token (pars 74, 1114, 2187).

As per **claim 15**, Ginter teaches wherein the authentication token is configured to store at least two seeds, and the cryptographic functionality comprises a verification operation performed collaboratively by at least first and second servers each storing one of the seeds (pars 510, 1452).

As per **claim 16**, Ginter teaches wherein the cryptographic functionality comprises an ability to generate at least one of an authentication code and a distress code utilizing a hardware-based authentication token (pars 74, 1114, 2187).

As per **claim 17**, Ginter teaches wherein the cryptographic functionality comprises at least one of an ability to verify a signature and an ability to generate a signature (pars 74, 169, 572, 1114).

As per **claim 18**, Ginter teaches wherein the cryptographic functionality comprises an ability to generate one or more values of a one-way chain (pars 59, 83, 107, 137, 148, 181, 189).

As per **claim 19**, Ginter teaches wherein the cryptographic functionality comprises an ability to perform symmetric cryptographic operations (pars 1452, 1518-1525).

As per **claim 20**, Ginter teaches wherein the cryptographic functionality comprises an ability to perform asymmetric cryptographic operations (pars 503-505, 1452, 1518-1525) .

As per **claim 21**, Ginter teaches wherein the cryptographic functionality comprises an ability to derive one or more cryptographic keys (pars 503-505, 1452, 1518-1525).

As per **claim 22**, Ginter teaches wherein the cryptographic functionality comprises an ability to compute one or more seeds (pars 610, 1452, 1519, 1521).

As per **claim 23**, Ginter teaches wherein at least one of the seeds corresponds to at least one of the nodes of the graph (pars 510, 1452, 1519, 2521).

As per **claim 24**, Ginter teaches wherein the cryptographic functionality is partitioned in accordance with a subscription model which requires compliance with at least one specified criterion for transmission from the delegating device to the recipient device of the information representative of one or more of the nodes (pars 1548, 2099, 2240).

As per **claim 25**, Ginter teaches wherein compliance with the specified criterion is satisfied upon receipt of a designated payment (pars 16-18,1775).

As per **claim 26**, Ginter teaches wherein the recipient device and the delegating device collaborate to perform at least one of a cryptographic verification function and a cryptographic generation function (pars 918, 1519, 1626, 1673, 1775).

As per **claim 27**, Ginter teaches wherein the recipient device includes only a limited computational ability associated with performance of the cryptographic function (pars 225, 471, 473, 1698).

As per **claim 28**, Ginter teaches an apparatus comprising:
a processing device comprising a processor coupled to a memory (pars 225, 471, 473, 1698)

the processing device being utilized in conjunction with partitioning of cryptographic functionality **so as to permit** delegation of at least one of a plurality of distinct portions of the cryptographic functionality from the processing device, configured as a delegating device, to at least one recipient device, the cryptographic functionality being characterized as a graph comprising a plurality of nodes (pars 74, 92);

the processing device being configured to associate a given set of the nodes with a corresponding one of the plurality of distinct portions of the cryptographic functionality, and to transmit to the recipient device information representative of one or more of the nodes (pars 74, 92);

the recipient device being configured based on the transmitted information for authorized execution of a corresponding one of the plurality of distinct portions of the cryptographic functionality (pars 74, 1114, 2187).

As per **claim 29**, Ginter teaches an apparatus comprising: a processing device comprising:

a processor coupled to a memory (pars 225, 471, 473, 1698);

the processing device being utilized in conjunction with partitioning of cryptographic functionality so as to permit delegation of at least one of a plurality of distinct portions of the cryptographic functionality to the processing device, configured as a recipient device, from at least one delegating device, the cryptographic functionality being characterized as a graph comprising a plurality of nodes (pars 73-74);

a given set of the nodes being associated with a corresponding one of the plurality of distinct portions of the cryptographic functionality (pars 74, 92; the processing device being operative to receive from the delegating device information representative of one or more of the nodes (pars 110, 112),

the processing device being configured based on the received information for authorized execution of a corresponding one of the plurality of distinct portions of the cryptographic functionality (pars 74, 1114, 2187).

As per **claim 30**, Ginter teaches a machine-readable storage medium containing one or more software programs for use in partitioning of cryptographic functionality so

as to permit delegation of at least one of a plurality of distinct portions of the cryptographic functionality from a delegating device to at least one recipient device, the cryptographic functionality being characterized as a graph comprising a plurality of nodes, wherein the one or more software programs when executed by the delegating device implement the steps of:

associating a given set of the nodes with a corresponding one of the plurality of distinct portions of the cryptographic functionality (pars 74, 92); and

transmitting from the delegating device to the recipient device information representative of one or more of the nodes (pars 110, 112),

the recipient device being configured based on the transmitted information for authorized execution of a corresponding one of the plurality of distinct portions of the cryptographic functionality (pars 74, 1114, 2187).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamara Teslovich whose telephone number is (571) 272-4241. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tamara Teslovich/
Examiner, Art Unit 2137

/Emmanuel L. Moise/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2137